





APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	E	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/074,970	02/13/2002	2	Steve Brandt	CS20456RL	6905 👉
20280	7590 04/	15/2004		EXAMINER	
MOTOROLA INC				WEST, LEWIS G	
600 NORTH US HIGHWAY 45 ROOM AS437		15 .	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LIBERTYVI	LLE, IL 60048-	5343		2682	
				DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	A ant(s)				
10/074,970	BRANDT ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Lewis G. West	2682				

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	amendment which places the application in fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check e	ither a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final reject b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, o no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MO ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	r (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In NTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. FWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP expetition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension tutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to	filed within the period set forth in avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration	n and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for issues for appeal; and/or	or appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a correspor NOTE:	nding number of finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation S	on has been considered but does NOT place the <u>Sheet.</u>
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not d raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	irected SOLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will no explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected	ot be entered or b) will be entered and an d is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected:	·
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square approved or b) \square	disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-144	9) Paper No(s).
10. Other:	(XIII)
L. West	WINAN CHIN
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600
[713] 308-9298	I COUNTROL CENTER COOL

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that some of the processing does not occur during the RF power period, if some does not, then some inherenty does, so this argument is not persuasive. There is also inherent delay between measurements and processing, in Neufeld, although processing occurs at the same time measurements are made, the measurements being processed are previous measurements, even if they occurred only fractions of a second before. Arguments with respect to previous periods are not persuasive as this is not directly expressed in the claim language.